# Dr. Jan-Philipp Ahrens

MAN 770 Research Seminar - Leadership and (Family-) CEOs, Restructuring & Financial Performance Research (8857a|FS2019)
Erfasste Fragebögen = 7

## Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

## Legende

Fragetext




n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
md=Median
s=Std.-Abw.
E.=Enthaltung

## 1. Course

1.1) The course contents were very well structured.




n=7
mw=1,3
md=1
s=0,5

1.2) The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples.




n=7
mw=1,4
md=1
s=0,5

1.3) The instructor motivated me.




n=7
mw=1,3
md=1
s=0,5

1.4) The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students.




n=7
mw=1,1
md=1
s=0,4

1.5) The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course.




n=7
mw=1,1
md=1
s=0,4

1.6) In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ... (One ECTS credit corresponds to an average workload of approx. 30 hours.)




n=7
mw=1,7
md=2
s=0,8

1.7) I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the course.




n=7
mw=1,4
md=1
s=0,5

## 2. Teacher

2.1) Instructor's explanations were clear.




n=7
mw=1,1
md=1
s=0,4

2.2) Students were encouraged to form an opinion on the content of the course.




n=7
mw=1
md=1
s=0

2.3) Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where course was going.




n=7 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,5

2.4) The instructor was well-prepared with regard to the content of the course.




n=7 mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,4

2.5) The material distributed (literature, slides, etc.) helped me acquire the knowledge.




n=7 mw=1,3 md=1 s=0,5

2.6) The instructor created a link between theory and practice.




n=6 mw=1,2 md=1 s=0,4

2.7) The instructor conveyed why the content is valuable and useful.




n=7 mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,4

2.8) The instructor was polite with the students.




n=7 mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,4

2.9) The instructor was cooperative and open-minded.




n=7 mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,4

2.10) Evaluation criteria were transparent.




n=7 mw=1,4 md=1 s=0,8

## 3. Learning Outcome

3.1) I improved my methodological competence thanks to this course.




n=7 mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,4

3.2) I improved my professional competence thanks to this course.




n=7 mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,4

3.3) I improved my presentation skills thanks to this course.




n=7 mw=1 md=1 s=0

3.4) My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course.




n=7 mw=1,1 md=1 s=0,4

## 4. Overall

4.1) Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor.




4.2) Overall, I am very satisfied with the course.




4.3) I learned a lot in this course.



# Profillinie

Teilbereich: Fakultät für Betriebswirtschaftslehre
Name der/des Lehrenden: Dr. Jan-Philipp Ahrens
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage) MAN 770 Research Seminar - Leadership and (Family-) CEOs, Restructuring & Financial Performance Research (8857a|FS2019)

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## 1. Course



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1) | The course contents were very well structured. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |
| 1.2) | The course contents were illustrated very well by using appropriate examples. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,4 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |
| 1.3) | The instructor motivated me. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |
| 1.4) | The instructor encouraged the open and creative participation of students. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 1.5) | The instructor demonstrated a high level of commitment in this course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 1.6) | In relation to the number of ECTS credits I receive, the average workload for this course is ... | very high | very low | n=7 | mw=1,7 | md=2,0 | s=0,8 |
| 1.7) | I had sufficient previous knowledge to follow the course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,4 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |

## 2. Teacher



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2.1) | Instructor's explanations were clear. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 2.2) | Students were encouraged to form an opinion on the content of the course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,0 | md=1,0 | s=0,0 |
| 2.3) | Proposed objectives agreed with those actually taught so I knew where course was going. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,4 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |
| 2.4) | The instructor was well-prepared with regard to the content of the course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 2.5) | The material distributed (literature, slides, etc.) helped me acquire the knowledge. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |
| 2.6) | The instructor created a link between theory and practice. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=6 | mw=1,2 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 2.7) | The instructor conveyed why the content is valuable and useful. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 2.8) | The instructor was polite with the students. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 2.9) | The instructor was cooperative and open-minded. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 2.10) | Evaluation criteria were transparent. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,4 | md=1,0 | s=0,8 |

## 3. Learning Outcome



| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.1) | I improved my methodological competence thanks to this course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 3.2) | I improved my professional competence thanks to this course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.3) | I improved my presentation skills thanks to this course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,0 | md=1,0 | s=0,0 |
| 3.4) | My interest in the subject has increased as a consequence of this course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |

## 4. Overall

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4.1) | Overall, I am very satisfied with the instructor. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,1 | md=1,0 | s=0,4 |
| 4.2) | Overall, I am very satisfied with the course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |
| 4.3) | I learned a lot in this course. | I completely agree | I do not agree at all | n=7 | mw=1,3 | md=1,0 | s=0,5 |

# Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

## 5. Open Questions

5.1) What did you particularly like about this course?

- Best course in my whole studies!
  Link between science and corporate life
  Empirical exercises and knowledge [...]
- Case/Workshop with Roland Berger was extraordinary good
- The "roleplay" styled presentations
- different objectives (presentations/essay/case study events).
  Very good Structure
- practical application in meaningful, engaging workshops.
  Great discussions + [...] to students opinions.
  Teaches you how to think
- the best seminar in MMM. Amazing Guest lectures and very [...]

5.2) What should be improved?

- Place Roland Berger Workshop further away from exams